

PATH INTEGRAL FOR COULOMB SYSTEM WITH MAGNETIC CHARGES

H. KLEINERT^{1,2}

University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

Received 2 April 1986; accepted for publication 18 April 1986

We calculate the path integral for the lagrangian $L = \frac{1}{2}m\dot{x}^2 + e\dot{x}\cdot A - e\bar{e}/r - v^2/2mr^2$, where $A_i = \bar{g}\epsilon_{ij}x_jx_3/r(x_1^2 + x_2^2)$ is the vector potential of a magnetic monopole, \bar{e} its charge, and e the charge of the particle in orbit. In addition, we allow for an arbitrary centrifugal barrier $1/r^2$ potential. After the replacement $e\bar{e} \rightarrow e\bar{e} + g\bar{g}$, $e\bar{g} \rightarrow e\bar{g} - g\bar{e}$, the results apply to dyonium, the bound state between two electrically and magnetically charged particles.

It is always fun to see old friends in a new dress, especially if this reveals new insights. For this reason, the recalculation of the Green function of the Coulomb problem by different methods [1] has been a popular exercise ever since Schwinger's original solution [2]. Recently, path integration has become a favorite technique of solving once again well-known problems. For the Coulomb case, this was done some years ago [3,4]^{#1} and repeated with various modifications [5-7]^{#2,3}.

In this note we want to generalize our method [3,4] to the case of an electric charge e in orbit around a dyon with charge \bar{e} and magnetic charge \bar{g} ^{#4}. In order to make the Dirac string invisible, \bar{g} and e fulfil the quantization condition

$$\bar{g}e = q = \text{half-integer.} \quad (1)$$

For the sake of being general, we also add an arbitrary $1/r^2$ potential. We shall calculate the amplitude

$$\langle x_b t_b | x_a t_a \rangle = \int \mathcal{D}^3x(t) \exp \left[i \int_{t_a}^{t_b} dt \left(\frac{1}{2}m\dot{x}^2 + e\dot{x}\cdot A - \frac{e\bar{e}}{r} - \frac{v^2}{2mr^2} \right) \right], \quad (2)$$

where

$$\int \mathcal{D}x(t) \equiv \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi i\epsilon/m}} \prod_{n=1}^N \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d^3x_n}{\sqrt{2\pi i\epsilon/m}},$$

via path integration. The vector potential A associated with the magnetic charge \bar{g} is given in the abstract.

¹ Supported in part by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft under grant no. Kl 256 and UCSD/DOE contract DEAT-03-81ER40029.

² On sabbatical leave from Institut für Theorie der Elementarteilchen, Freie Universität Berlin, Arnimallee 14, 1000 Berlin 33, Germany.

^{#1} Ref. [4] is the detailed version of ref. [3] including also the two-dimensional case.

^{#2} The authors of ref. [5] are more explicit than refs. [3,4] and write down all expressions in the time sliced form but get the correct result only after using a wrong jacobian $\partial(x, s)_j / \partial(u)_j = 2^4 \bar{r}_j^2$ (see the last line before their eq. (13)). The correct jacobian is $2^4 r_j^2$. In fact, construction of the variable F_j^2 must be taken to be equal to r_{j-1}^2 rather than the average between r_i and r_{j-1} .

^{#3} In ref. [7] the correct result emerges after using his wrong formula (7), since this author did not consider the fact that \bar{r}_n^2 is equal to r_{n-1}^2 by construction. See also ref. [8].

^{#4} The problem has a long history, see ref. [9]. For recent discussions including spin, see ref. [10].

Going to the square-root variables

$$x_i = -z^\dagger \sigma_i z, \quad r = z^\dagger z, \quad (3)$$

where σ_i are the Pauli matrices and

$$z_1 = u_1 - iu_4 = \sqrt{r} \sin \frac{1}{2}\theta \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}i(\alpha + \varphi)\right], \quad z_2 = -u_3 + iu_2 = -\sqrt{r} \cos \frac{1}{2}\theta \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}i(\alpha - \varphi)\right], \quad (4)$$

each point $x_i = (r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta \cos \varphi, r \sin \theta \sin \varphi)$ has as many square roots in the four-dimensional u_μ space as the angle α has values between 0 and 4π . The degeneracy of this mapping is removed by introducing

(1) an auxiliary fourth variable x_4 extending the kinetic term to $\dot{x}_\mu^2 \equiv \dot{x}^2 + \dot{x}_4^2$ and using the path integral in four-dimensional space

$$\begin{aligned} & \int d(x_4)_b \int \mathcal{D}x(t) \exp\left(i \int_{t_a}^{t_b} dt \frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}^2\right) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d(x_4)_{N+1}}{(\sqrt{2\pi i \epsilon/m})^4} \prod_{n=1}^N \int \frac{d^4 x_n}{(\sqrt{2\pi i \epsilon/m})^4} \exp\left(i \epsilon \sum_{n=1}^{N+1} (x_n - x_{n-1})^2 / \epsilon^2\right). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The integral over the final $(x_4)_{N+1} = (x_4)_b$ ensures that the extension does not alter the result (see refs. [3,4]).

(2) Mapping intervals dx_μ into intervals du_μ by

$$dx_\mu = 2A_\mu^\nu(u) du_\nu, \quad (6)$$

where

$$A_\mu^\nu(u) = \begin{pmatrix} u_3 & u_4 & u_1 & u_2 \\ -u_2 & -u_1 & u_4 & u_3 \\ -u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & -u_4 \\ u_4 & -u_3 & u_2 & -u_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

has the inverse $(1/u^2)A^T$ and the determinant $u^4 = r^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_\mu^2 &= 4u^2 \dot{u}^2, \quad \dot{x}_1 x_2 - \dot{x}_2 x_1 = 4\left[(u_2^2 + u_3^2)(u_4 \dot{u}_1 - u_1 \dot{u}_4) + (u_1^2 + u_4^2)(u_3 \dot{u}_2 - u_2 \dot{u}_3)\right], \\ x_1^2 + x_2^2 &= 4(u_2^2 + u_3^2)(u_1^2 + u_4^2). \end{aligned}$$

In the new variables, the lagrangian reads

$$\begin{aligned} L(u_\mu, \dot{u}_\mu) &= \frac{1}{r} \left[\frac{4m}{2} u^4 \dot{u}^2 - q \left(\frac{1}{u_1^2 + u_4^2} (u_4 \dot{u}_1 - u_1 \dot{u}_4) + \frac{1}{u_2^2 + u_3^2} (u_3 \dot{u}_2 - u_2 \dot{u}_3) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times (u_1^2 + u_4^2 - u_2^2 - u_3^2) + e\bar{e} - \frac{v^2}{2mu^2} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

In terms of $u = \sqrt{u_\mu^2}$ and the angles θ, φ, α , it looks as follows:

$$L(u, \theta, \varphi, \alpha) = \frac{1}{r} \left\{ \frac{4m}{2} u^4 \dot{u}^2 + \frac{1}{2} mu^6 \left[\dot{\theta}^2 + \dot{\varphi}^2 + \dot{\alpha}^2 - 2 \left(\dot{\alpha} - \frac{q}{mu^4} \right) \dot{\varphi} \cos \theta \right] + e\bar{e} - \frac{v^2}{2mu^2} \right\}. \quad (8)$$

We now perform the change from t to the path dependent pseudo-time s via the idem factor

$$r_b \int_0^\infty ds \int \frac{dE}{2\pi} \exp[-iE(t_b - t_a)] \exp\left(i \int_0^s ds Er(s)\right) = 1, \quad (9)$$

and arrive at the Duru–Kleinert type representation of the Fourier transformed amplitude (2) (see ref. [4] eqs. (100)–(106)):

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x_b | x_a \rangle_E &= \int_0^{4\pi} d\alpha_b \int_0^\infty ds e^{-ie\bar{z}s} \langle u_b s | u_a 0 \rangle \\ &= \int_0^{4\pi} d\alpha_b \int_0^\infty ds e^{-ie\bar{z}s} \left[\int \mathcal{D}^4 u(s) \exp\left(i \int_0^s ds \frac{1}{2} M \left\{ u_\mu'^2 + \frac{1}{4} u^2 [\theta'^2 + \varphi'^2 + \alpha'^2 \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. - 2(\alpha' - 4q/Mu^2)\varphi' \cos \theta \right\} - 4v^2/2Mu^2 + Eu^2 \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $M = 4m$ and the prime denotes d/ds . We now observe that the lagrangian $L(u, u')$ in this amplitude has a Legendre transform

$$\begin{aligned} H &= p_\mu u'_\mu - L \\ &= (1/2M) \left\{ p_u^2 + (4/u^2) \left[p_\theta^2 + (1/\sin^2\theta) (p_\varphi^2 + (p_\alpha + q)^2 + 2(p_\alpha + q)p_\varphi \cos \theta) \right] \right\} \\ &\quad + (4/2Mu^2) [q^2 - q(p_\alpha + q) + v^2]. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

In the canonical version of the path integral (10)

$$\int \mathcal{D}^4 x \int \frac{\mathcal{D}^4 p_u}{2\pi} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} i \int_0^s ds (p_u u' + p_\theta \theta' + p_\varphi \varphi' + p_\alpha \alpha' - H)\right),$$

we can therefore easily change the variable of integration p_α into $p_\alpha + q$, thus picking up a phase factor $\exp[-iq(\alpha_f - \alpha_i)]$. Then, since H does not contain α , the α integration can be performed forcing the new p_α to be equal to q . This makes it possible to replace the $1/u^2$ potential in (11) by

$$(4/2Mu^2)(v^2 - q^2).$$

Keeping this in mind, the path integral in the large brackets of eq. (10), may be rewritten

$$\langle u_b s | u_a 0 \rangle = \exp[iq(\alpha_b - \alpha_a)] \int \mathcal{D}^4 u \exp\left(i \int_0^s ds \left(\frac{1}{2} Mu_\mu'^2 - \frac{1}{2} M\omega^2 u_\mu^2 - a^2/2Mu^2\right)\right), \quad (12)$$

where

$$\omega^2 = \sqrt{-2E/M} = \sqrt{-E/2m} \quad (13)$$

and

$$a^2 = 4(v^2 - q^2).$$

This is the amplitude of a four-dimensional harmonic oscillator with an extra $1/u^2$ potential.

For $v = q$ this is immediately solved in closed form [11] with the result

$$\langle u_b s | u_a 0 \rangle = (\sqrt{2\pi i \sin \omega s})^{-4} \exp\left(i \frac{\omega}{2 \sin \omega s} \left[(u_b^2 + u_a^2) \cos \omega s - 2u_b \cdot u_a \right]\right). \quad (14)$$

Going from the variable s to $\sigma = e^{-ie\bar{e}s}$, and doing the α_b integration this gives immediately the desired amplitude

$$\langle \mathbf{x}_b | \mathbf{x}_a \rangle_E = -i \frac{mp_0}{\pi} \int_0^1 d\sigma \frac{\sigma^{-\nu}}{(1-\sigma)^2} I_q \left(2p_0 \frac{\sqrt{2\sigma}}{1-\sigma} \sqrt{\mathbf{x}_b \cdot \mathbf{x}_a + r_b r_a} \right) \exp \left(-p_0 \frac{1+\sigma}{1-\sigma} (r_b + r_a) \right), \quad (15)$$

where

$$P_0 = \sqrt{-2mE} = \sqrt{-ME/2} = \frac{1}{2}M\omega, \quad \nu = ee/2\omega.$$

For $v \neq q$, a little more work is necessary. Here we first have to do the angular integrals. In a D -dimensional generalization of the method of ref. [12], this gives the partial wave expansion

$$\langle \mathbf{u}_b s | \mathbf{u}_a 0 \rangle = \frac{1}{(u_b u_a)^{D/2}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \langle u_b s | u_a 0 \rangle_l \sum_m Y_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_b) Y_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_a), \quad (16)$$

where Y_{lm} are the D -dimensional spherical harmonics (with m denoting all degenerate quantum numbers). They satisfy the completeness relations

$$\sum_l Y_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_b) Y_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_a) = \frac{1}{S_D} \frac{2l+D-2}{D-2} C_l^{D/2-1}(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_b \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}}_a), \quad (17)$$

where $C_l^{(\alpha)}$ are the Gegenbauer polynomials #5

$$C_l^{(\alpha)}(\cos \vartheta) = \sum_{r=0}^l \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+r)\Gamma(l+\alpha-r)}{n!(n-r)!\Gamma^2(\alpha)} \cos(2r-l)\vartheta \quad (18)$$

and $S_D = 2\pi^{D/2}/\Gamma(D/2)$ is the surface of a D sphere. The partial wave amplitudes are given by the radial path integral

$$\langle u_b s | u_a 0 \rangle_l = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{D}u \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{h} \int_0^s \left[\left(\frac{1}{2}Mu^2 - \frac{1}{2}M\omega^2 u^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2Mu^2} \left(\left[l + \frac{1}{2}(D-2) \right]^2 - \frac{1}{4} + a^2 \right) \right] \right\}. \quad (19)$$

This can be done [7] giving

$$\langle u_b s | u_a 0 \rangle_l = \frac{M\omega\sqrt{u_b u_a}}{i \sin^2 \omega s} \exp \left[\frac{1}{2}iM\omega \operatorname{ctg} \omega s (u_b^2 + u_a^2) \right] I_{\bar{l}+(D-2)/2} (Mu_b u_a / i \sin \omega s), \quad (20)$$

where \bar{l} is chosen such as to make the natural centrifugal barrier associated with this value of angular-momentum include our extra $a^2(2Mu^2)$ potential, i.e.

$$[\bar{l} + (D-2)/2]^2 = [l + (D-2)/2]^2 + 4(v^2 - q^2). \quad (21)$$

We now perform the integral over $\int d\alpha_b \exp[iq(\alpha_b - \alpha_a)]$. Since

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}_b \hat{\mathbf{u}}_a = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_b \cdot \mathbf{x}_a + r_b r_a)} \cos[(\alpha_b - \alpha_a - \beta)/2],$$

where β is an angle depending only on θ, φ (see footnote on p. 420 of ref. [4]), we can integrate (18) directly

$$\int_0^{4\pi} d\alpha_b e^{iq\alpha_b} C_l^{(D/2-1)}(\cos \frac{1}{2}\alpha_b) = 4\pi \frac{\Gamma(D/2-1+l+q/2)\Gamma(D/2-1-q/2)}{(l+q/2)!(l-q/2)!\Gamma^2(D/2-1)}. \quad (22)$$

#5 Notice that in four dimensions, $C_l^{(1)}(\cos \vartheta) = \sum_{r=0}^l \cos(2r-l)\vartheta = \sin[(l+1)\vartheta]/\sin \vartheta$.

Since D is really equal to 4, the right-hand side is equal to 4π for $l = q, q + 2, q + 4$. Hence, the integral over α_b in (16) gives

$$\int_0^{4\pi} d\alpha_b \langle \mathbf{u}_b s | \mathbf{u}_a 0 \rangle = \frac{1}{(u_b u_a)} \frac{M\omega}{\pi i \sin \omega s} \times \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}iM\omega \operatorname{ctg}[\omega s(u_b^2 + u_a^2)]\right\} \sum_{l=q, q+2, \dots} 2(l+1) I_{l+1}\left(\frac{Mu_b u_a}{i \sin \omega s}\right). \quad (23)$$

As a check, we set $v = q$ such that $l = \bar{l}$ and we can use the identity

$$2(l+1)I_{l+1}(u) = h[I_l(u) - I_{l+2}(u)]$$

to perform the sum

$$\sum_{l=q, q+2, \dots} 2(l+1)I_{l+1}(u) = hI_q(u). \quad (24)$$

This agrees with a direct integration of (14) over α_b .

It is now obvious how the final result (15) changes when allowing for the additional centrifugal barrier $a^2/2Mu^2$: We simply have to use eq. (24) backwards and replace

$$I_q(h) \rightarrow \frac{2}{h} \sum_{l=q, q+2, q+4, \dots} (l+1) I_{l+1}(h), \quad (25)$$

such that

$$\langle x_b | x_a \rangle_E = -i \frac{m}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{x_b \cdot x_a + r_b r_a}} \sum_{l=q, q+2, \dots} (l+1) \times \int_0^1 d\sigma \frac{\sigma^{-\nu-1/2}}{1-\sigma} I_{l+1}\left(2p_0 \frac{\sqrt{2\sigma}}{1-\sigma} \sqrt{x_b \cdot x_a + r_b r_a}\right) \exp\left(-p_0 \frac{1+\sigma}{1-\sigma} (r_b + r_a)\right). \quad (26)$$

The Fourier transform of this is the desired amplitude for the charged particle moving in the field of a dyon.

It goes without saying that the result can trivially be extended to the case that the particle in orbit has itself a magnetic charge \bar{g} ; in this case the final result merely requires the replacement $e\bar{e} \rightarrow e\bar{e} + g\bar{g}$, $e\bar{g} \rightarrow e\bar{g} - g\bar{e}$.

The author thanks Dr. Eric D'Hoker for telling him about the supersymmetric aspects of the dyon system. He also thanks Dr. S. Ami for discussions and Professor N. Kroll and Professor J. Kuti for their kind hospitality at UCSD.

References

- [1] M.C. Gutzwiller, J. Math. Phys. 8 (1967) 1979;
R.G. Storer, J. Math. Phys. 9 (1968) 964;
H. Kleinert, 1967 Boulder Lectures on Group dynamics of the hydrogen atom, in: Lectures in theoretical physics, eds. W.E. Brittin and A.O. Barut (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1968);
L. Hostler and R.H. Pratt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 10 (1969) 469;
L. Hostler, J. Math. Phys. 5 (1964) 551; 11 (1970) 2966;
S.M. Blinder, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52 (1984) 20.

- [2] J. Schwinger, *J. Math. Phys.* 5 (1964) 1607.
- [3] H. Duru and H. Kleinert, *Phys. Lett. B* 84 (1979) 185.
- [4] H. Duru and H. Kleinert, *Fortschr. Phys.* 30 (1982) 401.
- [5] R. Ho and A. Inomata, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 48 (1982) 231.
- [6] Ph. Blanchard and M. Sirugue, *J. Math. Phys.* 22 (1981) 1372;
H. Grinberg, J. Marañon and H. Vucetiç, *Z. Phys. C* 20 (1983) 147;
see also the general discussion, A. Young and C. DeWitt, Texas preprint (Austin, 1985).
- [7] F. Steiner, *Phys. Lett. A* 106 (1984) 356.
- [8] F. Steiner, *Phys. Lett. A* 106 (1984) 363.
- [9] J. Schwinger, *Science* 165 (1969) 757;
A.O. Barut, C.K.E. Schneider and R. Wilson, *J. Math. Phys.* 20 (1979) 2244;
R. Jackiw, *Ann. Phys. (NY)* 129 (1980) 183.
- [10] E. D'Hoker and L. Vinet, *Nucl. Phys. B* 260 (1985) 79.
- [11] R.P. Feynman and A.R. Hibbs, *Quantum mechanics and path integrals* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965).
- [12] D. Peak and A. Inomata, *J. Math. Phys.* 10 (1969) 1422.