

H. KLEINERT, *et al.*

5 Dicembre 1970

*Lettere al Nuovo Cimento*

Serie I, Vol. 4, pag. 1091-1096

## Broken Scale Invariance and $\sigma\pi\pi$ , $A\sigma\pi$ and $\sigma AA$ Vertices.

H. KLEINERT

*Freie Universität - Berlin*

P. H. WEISZ

*CERN - Geneva*

(ricevuto il 5 Ottobre 1970)

The assumption that the trace of the energy momentum tensor  $\theta(x) \equiv \theta_\mu^\mu(x)$  is a good interpolating field of the (hypothetical)  $\sigma$ -meson <sup>(1)</sup> has recently turned out to be a powerful tool in relating the properties of this meson to the dimensional content of the Hamiltonian density <sup>(2-5)</sup>.

Let  $\theta_{00}(x)$  consist of a sum of an  $SU_2 \times SU_2$  singlet  $\bar{\theta}_{00}(x)$  and a term  $\theta_4(x)$ , which, together with the divergence of the axial vector current  $\partial_\mu A^\mu(x)$ , forms a representation  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  of chiral  $SU_2 \times SU_2$ . This means that the axial current  $A_0(x)$  has the following commutation rules with  $\theta_4(x)$  and  $\partial_\mu A^\mu(x)$ :

$$(1) \quad [A_0(x), \partial_\mu A^\mu(y)]_{x_0=y_0} = -i\theta_4(x) \delta^3(x-y),$$

$$(2) \quad [A_0(x), \theta_4(y)]_{x_0=y_0} = i\partial_\mu A^\mu(x) \delta^3(x-y).$$

Let furthermore  $\theta_4(x)$  be a scalar operator of dimension  $d$  and assume that all parts in  $\bar{\theta}_{00}(x)$  having a dimension different from four are Lorentz and chiral scalars.

Then it can be shown <sup>(4)</sup> that  $\theta_4(x)$  appears in the trace of the energy-momentum tensor  $\theta(x)$  in the form  $(4-d)\theta_4(x)$ . As a consequence, the commutator (2) leads to

$$(3) \quad [A_0(x), \theta(y)]_{x_0=y_0} = i(4-d)\partial_\mu A^\mu(x) \delta^3(x-y)$$

<sup>(1)</sup> H. A. KASTRUP: *Phys. Rev.*, **150**, 1183 (1966), and references therein.

<sup>(2)</sup> S. P. DE ALWIS and P. J. O'DONNELL: Toronto preprint (1970).

<sup>(3)</sup> H. KLEINERT and P. H. WEISZ: CERN preprint, to be published in *Nucl. Phys.*.

<sup>(4)</sup> M. GELL-MANN: Hawaii Summer School (1969).

<sup>(5)</sup> H. KLEINERT and P. H. WEISZ: CERN preprint, to be published in *Nucl. Phys.*.

and can be used to write a Ward identity relating the three-point function

$$(4) \quad \tau_\mu(q, p) \equiv i \int dx dy \exp[-i(qx - py)] \langle 0 | T(\theta(x) A_\mu(y) \partial^\nu A_\nu(0)) | 0 \rangle,$$

$$(5) \quad \tau(q^2, p^2, (q-p)^2) \equiv \int dx dy \exp[-i(qx - py)] \langle 0 | T(\theta(x) \partial_\mu A^\mu(y) \partial_\nu A^\nu(0)) | 0 \rangle,$$

to the propagators

$$(6) \quad \Delta(q) \equiv \int dx \exp[-iqx] \langle 0 | T(\partial_\mu A^\mu(x) \partial_\nu A^\nu(0)) | 0 \rangle,$$

$$(7) \quad \Delta_{\theta\theta_4}(q) \equiv \int dx \exp[-iqx] \langle 0 | T(\theta(x) \theta_4(0)) | 0 \rangle,$$

by

$$(8) \quad p^\mu \tau_\mu(q, p) = -\tau(q^2, p^2, (q-p)^2) + (4-d) \Delta(q-p) - \Delta_{\theta\theta_4}(q).$$

We perform a maximal smoothness parametrization <sup>(6)</sup>:

$$(9) \quad \tau(q^2, p^2, (q-p)^2) = -\Delta(p) \Delta(q-p) \Gamma(q^2, p^2, (q-p)^2),$$

$$(10) \quad \tau_\mu(q, p) = \Delta(p) \Delta(q-p) \frac{p_\mu}{m_\pi^2} \Gamma(q^2, p^2, (q-p)^2) - \Delta_{\mu\nu}(p) \Delta(q-p) \Gamma^\nu(q, p),$$

with

$$(11) \quad \Gamma(q^2, p^2, k^2) = \frac{m_\sigma^2}{q^2 - m_\sigma^2} [F_0 m_\pi^2 + F_1 q^2 + F_2(p^2 + k^2)] \frac{1}{f_\pi^2 m_\pi^2},$$

$$(12) \quad \Gamma^\nu(q, p) = \frac{1}{q^2 - m_\sigma^2} \left[ \alpha p^\nu - 2\beta \frac{m_\sigma^2}{m_\pi^2} q^\nu \right] C_A^{-1},$$

where  $\Delta_{\mu\nu}(q)$  is the propagator of the axial vector:

$$(13) \quad \Delta_{\mu\nu}(q) \equiv \int dm^2 \frac{q_\mu q_\nu - g_{\mu\nu} m^2}{q^2 - m^2} \frac{\varrho_A(m^2)}{m^4}$$

and

$$(14) \quad C_A \equiv \int dm^2 \frac{\varrho_A(m^2)}{m^4}.$$

If we assume these integrals to be saturated by a single  $A_2$ -meson

$$(15) \quad \varrho_A(m^2) = m_A^4 / \gamma_A^2 \delta(m^2 - m_A^2),$$

<sup>(6)</sup> H. J. SCHNITZER and S. WEINBERG: *Phys. Rev.*, **64**, 1824 (1968).

we find from eq. (8) the  $\sigma\pi\pi$  and  $\Lambda\sigma\pi$  vertices in terms of two parameters  $x$  and  $\beta$  (<sup>7</sup>):

$$(16) \quad \begin{cases} \Gamma_0 = -4 + (1+x)d, & \Gamma_1 = \left[ (4-d) \frac{m_\pi^2}{m_\sigma^2} - \beta \right], & \Gamma_2 = \beta - dx, \\ \alpha = (2-dx) \frac{m_\sigma^2}{m_\pi^2}. \end{cases}$$

This result corresponds exactly to what one would obtain from good old hard-pion techniques (<sup>8</sup>): eqs. (16) contain the maximal information that can be extracted from chiral current commutation rules. Define the coupling constants  $g_{\sigma\pi\pi}$  and  $g_{\Lambda\sigma\pi}$  by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\sigma\pi\pi} = g_{\sigma\pi\pi} \frac{m_\sigma}{2} \sigma\pi\pi + g_{\Lambda\sigma\pi} A_\mu \pi \partial^\mu \sigma$$

and introduce the  $\sigma$ -meson-graviton coupling

$$\langle 0 | \theta(0) | \sigma \rangle \equiv \frac{m_\sigma^3}{\gamma}.$$

Then equation (16) leads to

$$(17) \quad \begin{cases} g_{\sigma\pi\pi} = \beta\gamma \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{x}{\beta} d - 2 \right) \frac{m_\pi^2}{m_\sigma^2} \right], \\ g_{\Lambda\sigma\pi} = -2\beta\gamma f_\pi \frac{\gamma_\Lambda}{m_\sigma}. \end{cases}$$

It is the purpose of this letter to point out that the knowledge of the dimension of  $\theta_4(x)$  is sufficient to determine the free constants  $\beta$  and  $x$  and thus to fix the ratio  $g_{\Lambda\sigma\pi}/g_{\sigma\pi\pi}$ .

Since  $\theta_4(x)$  has dimension  $d$  it follows from  $SU_2 \times SU_2$  (eqs. (1) and (2)) that also  $\partial_\mu A^\mu$  has dimension  $d$ .

If

$$(18) \quad \mathcal{D}_\kappa(x) \equiv x^\mu \theta_{\kappa\mu}(x)$$

denotes the current density of dilatations, this means that

$$(19) \quad i[\mathcal{D}_0(x), \partial_\mu A^\mu(y)]_{x_0=y_0} = (x\partial + d) \partial_\mu A^\mu(x) \delta^3(x-y).$$

As a consequence we can derive a Ward identity for the function

$$(20) \quad \sigma_\kappa(q, p) \equiv i \int dx dy \exp[-i(qx - py)] \langle 0 | T(\mathcal{D}_\kappa(x) \partial_\mu A^\mu(y) \partial_\nu A^\nu(0)) | 0 \rangle$$

(<sup>7</sup>) The parameter  $x$  is defined by the ratio of the propagators  $\Delta_{\theta\theta}(0)/d\Delta(0) \equiv x$ .

(<sup>8</sup>) Among the vast literature one may conveniently consult R. ARNOWITT, M. H. FRIEDMANN and P. NATH: *Phys. Rev.*, **174**, 2008 (1968).

in the form

$$(21) \quad q^\alpha \sigma_\alpha(q, p) = \tau(q^2, p^2, (q-p)^2) - (d-4 - (q-p) \partial_q) \Lambda(q-p) + d\Lambda(p).$$

The corresponding low-energy theorem at  $q=0$  gives the results <sup>(3)</sup>

$$(22) \quad \Gamma(0, \mu^2, \mu^2) = 2\mu^2,$$

$$(23) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial p^2} \Gamma(0, \mu^2, p^2) \Big|_{p^2=\mu^2} = 1 - d.$$

Inserting  $\Gamma$ , from eq. (11), and our parametrization eq. (16) proves our assertion ( $\beta = x = 1$ ).

The result implies

$$(24) \quad \frac{g_{\Lambda\sigma\pi}}{g_{\sigma\pi\pi}} = -2 \frac{\gamma_\Lambda f_\pi}{m_\sigma} \frac{1}{1 + (d-2)(m_\pi^2/m_\sigma^2)}.$$

The important observation is that this ratio is essentially independent of the dimension of the symmetry breaker  $\theta_4(x)$ . (Since one usually assumes <sup>(9)</sup>  $1 \leq d < 4$  and since  $m_\pi^2/m_\sigma^2 \ll 1$ .)

If one neglects the small  $d$ -dependent term we recover the well-known ratio of Gilman and Harari <sup>(10)</sup>.

Notice that the additional information supplied by broken scale invariance on this ratio is nontrivial. Without it the ratio  $\beta/x$  could have been of the order of  $m_\sigma^2/m_\pi^2$  causing a strong  $d$ -dependence in  $g_{\sigma\pi\pi}$ .

<sup>(9)</sup> K. WILSON: *Phys. Rev.*, **179**, 1499 (1969).

<sup>(10)</sup> F. GILMAN and H. HARARI: *Phys. Rev.*, **165**, 1821 (1967). Using this ratio and the experimental mass and width  $m_\sigma \approx 700$ ,  $\Gamma_\sigma \approx 400$  MeV we find  $g_{\sigma\pi\pi}^2/4\pi \approx 1.7$ ,  $g_{\Lambda\sigma\pi}^2/4\pi \approx 13.6$ ,  $\Gamma_{\Lambda\sigma\pi} \approx 50$  MeV. In saturation schemes of the algebra  $SU_2 \times SU_2$  by  $\pi, \sigma, \Lambda$  and  $\rho$  mesons one obtains  $g_{\Lambda\sigma\pi}/g_{\sigma\pi\pi} = 2(m_\Lambda/m_\rho)$  (S. WEINBERG: *Phys. Rev.*, **177**, 2613 (1967)). In larger schemes containing also the  $f$ -meson (F. BUCCELLA, H. KLEINERT, C. SAVOY, E. CELEGHINI and E. SORACE: *Nuovo Cimento*, **69 A**, 133 (1970)) one finds

$$\frac{g_{\Lambda\sigma\pi}}{g_{\sigma\pi\pi}} = 2 \frac{m_\Lambda}{m_\sigma} \sqrt{\frac{m_f^2 + 2m_\sigma^2}{3m_\rho^2}}.$$

Since  $m_f^2 \approx 3m_\rho^2 \approx 3m_\sigma^2$  this amounts to a  $\Gamma_{\Lambda\sigma\pi}$  width about  $\frac{5}{3}$  larger than that of Gilman and Harari. It is interesting to note that the Veneziano amplitude determines  $g_{\Lambda\sigma\pi}$  in terms of  $g_{\Lambda\rho\pi}$  and  $h_{\Lambda\rho\pi}$  to be

$$g_{\Lambda\sigma\pi} = -\frac{g_{\Lambda\rho\pi}}{m_\sigma} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{m_\rho^2 h_{\Lambda\rho\pi}}{m_\sigma}$$

(where  $g_{\Lambda\rho\pi}$  and  $h_{\Lambda\rho\pi}$  are defined by  $\mathcal{L} = g_{\Lambda\rho\pi} \varrho_\mu A^\mu \times \pi + h_{\Lambda\rho\pi} \varrho_\mu \partial^\mu A^\nu \times \partial_\nu \pi$ ). For reference see C. SAVOY: *Lett. Nuovo Cimento*, **2**, 870 (1969); J. L. ROSNER and H. SUURA: *Phys. Rev.*, **187**, 1905 (1969); P. CARRUTHERS and F. COOPER: *Phys. Rev. D*, **1**, 1232 (1970). Inserting longitudinal and transverse coupling constants

$$g_L \equiv -h_{\Lambda\rho\pi} + 2g_{\Lambda\rho\pi} \frac{m_\Lambda^2 + m_\rho^2}{m_\Lambda^2 - m_\rho^2}, \quad g_T \equiv -g_{\Lambda\rho\pi} \frac{4m_\Lambda^2}{(m_\Lambda^2 - m_\rho^2)^2}$$

we find  $g_{\Lambda\sigma\pi} \approx \frac{1}{2}(g_T + g_L)(m_\rho^2/m_\sigma)$ . GILMAN and HARARI give  $g_T \approx 0$ ,  $g_L = 4/f_\pi$ , hence  $g_{\Lambda\sigma\pi} \approx 2m_\rho^2/m_\sigma f_\pi$ . Comparing this with their value  $g_{\sigma\pi\pi} = m_\sigma/\sqrt{2} f_\pi$  one obtains  $g_{\Lambda\sigma\pi}/g_{\sigma\pi\pi} \approx 2\sqrt{2} m_\rho^2/m_\sigma^2$ , which is approximately the same ratio as before.

In addition to the  $A\sigma\pi$  coupling the more academic vertex  $\sigma AA$  is determined as well by our Ward identities. Just for completeness we note that we can write a Ward identity for the three-point function

$$(25) \quad \tau_{\mu\nu}(q, p) = i \int dx dy \exp[-i(qx - py)] \langle 0 | T(\theta(x) A_\mu(y) A_\nu(0)) | 0 \rangle$$

in terms of the propagator

$$(26) \quad \Delta_\mu(q) = \frac{q_\mu}{m_\pi^2} \Delta(q)$$

reading

$$(27) \quad p^\mu \tau_{\mu\nu}(q, p) = \tau_\nu(q; (q-p)) + (4-d) \Delta_\nu(q-p).$$

After a maximal-smoothness assumption for the  $\sigma AA$  vertex, this yields for the coupling constant  $g_{\sigma AA}$  (defined by  $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} g_{\sigma AA} m_A \sigma A_\mu A^\mu$ )

$$(28) \quad \frac{g_{\sigma AA}}{g_{\sigma\pi\pi}} = -2 \frac{\gamma_A^2 f_\pi^2}{m_A m_\sigma} \frac{1}{1 + (d-2)(m_\pi^2/m_\sigma^2)}.$$

Our results can be compared with the couplings given by the linear  $\sigma$ -model with axial and vector fields introduced à la Yang-Mills. We find

$$(29) \quad g_{\sigma\pi\pi} = -\frac{m_\sigma}{f_\pi} \frac{m_\rho^3}{m_A^3} \left[ 1 + (Z-2) \frac{m_\pi^2}{m_\sigma^2} \right]$$

and exactly the same ratios (24) and (28) except that  $d$  is replaced by  $Z = m_A^2/m_\rho^2$  everywhere<sup>(11)</sup>. We conclude that if we want to reproduce the results of this model according to our methods we have to assign the dimension  $d = Z$  to pion and  $\sigma$  fields when the Yang-Mills fields  $A_1$  and  $\rho$  are present.

The dimension  $Z$  can be read off the result eq. (29) in another independent way. From eq. (17) (for  $\beta = x = 1$ ) we know that the factor in front of the brackets has to be identified with the graviton- $\sigma$  coupling  $\gamma$ :

$$(30) \quad \gamma = -\frac{m_\sigma}{f_\pi} \frac{m_\rho^3}{m_A^3} = -\frac{m_\sigma}{f_\pi} \frac{1}{Z^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

In the effective Lagrangian this constant is recovered in the following way: One takes the terms that can contribute to  $\theta(x)$  linearly in the field  $\sigma' \equiv \sigma - \langle 0 | \sigma | 0 \rangle \equiv \sigma - \sigma_0$ :

$$(31) \quad \mathcal{L} = \dots - \frac{\mu_0^2}{2} (\sigma^2 + \pi^2) + \frac{\lambda}{4} (\sigma^2 + \pi^2)^2 + m_\pi^2 f_\pi Z^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sigma.$$

<sup>(11)</sup> These results can be read directly off the Lagrangian eq. (6.3) of S. GASIOROWICZ and D. A. GEFFEN: *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **41**, 542 (1969) after having the terms  $-(\mu_0^2/2)(\sigma^2 + \pi^2) + (\lambda/4)(\sigma^2 + \pi^2)^2$  in order to go over to the linear  $\sigma$ -model. Note that the magnitude of  $g_{\sigma\pi\pi}$  is about half the value following from the Adler-Weisberger sum rule. The reason is clearly that the  $\pi\pi$  scattering amplitude following from the chiral Lagrangian is in general not unsubtracted.

If  $\sigma$  and  $\pi$  have dimension  $Z$ , this leads to a trace of the energy-momentum tensor (4)

$$(32) \quad \theta(x) = \dots + (4 - 2Z) \mu_0^2 (\sigma^2 + \pi^2) - \lambda(1 - Z)(\sigma^2 + \pi^2)^2 - (4 - Z) m_\pi^2 f_\pi Z^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sigma,$$

which gives, upon inserting  $\sigma = \sigma_0 + \sigma'$ , a linear term

$$(33) \quad \theta(x) = \dots - Z(\mu_0^2 - \lambda\sigma_0^2) f_\pi Z^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma' = \dots - Z^{\frac{3}{2}} m_\sigma^2 f_\pi \sigma',$$

comparing with the defining relation

$$(34) \quad \theta(x) = \dots + \frac{m_\sigma^3}{\gamma} \sigma',$$

we obtain indeed the result (30).

Notice that this assignment of dimension  $Z$  different from the canonical dimension one (12) has nothing to do with the concept of anomalous dimensions as discussed by WILSON on the basis of exactly scale-invariant theories (13). Wilson's dimension is connected with the Schwinger term in the commutator

$$(35) \quad i [\theta_{0i}(x), \varphi(y)]_{x_0=y_0} = \partial_i \varphi(x) \delta^3(x-y) - \frac{d}{3} \varphi(y) \partial_i \delta^3(x-y),$$

where  $\theta_{\mu\nu}(x)$  is the local energy-momentum tensor with *finite* matrix elements (14). In our phenomenological Lagrangian we are free to assign any dimension  $d$  to the pion field. This can be done, at the canonical level, by adding the term

$$(36) \quad -\frac{d}{6} (\partial_\mu \partial_\nu - g_{\mu\nu} \square) (\sigma^2 + \pi^2)$$

to the canonical energy-momentum tensor. A detailed investigation of this energy-momentum tensor shows that every step in our calculation can be carried through in this model for  $d = Z$ . If, however,  $d$  is chosen differently a term  $Z^{-2}(d - Z)(\partial_\mu \pi)^2$  appears in the trace of the energy-momentum tensor destroying the validity of the maximal smoothness parametrization of eq. (11).

\* \* \*

The authors are grateful to Prof. B. ZUMINO and Dr. C. SAVOY for discussions. They also thank the Theoretical Study Division at CERN for their hospitality.

(12) R. A. BRANDT and G. PREPARATA: CERN preprint (1970), TH 1208.

(13) K. WILSON: Stanford preprint (1970), discovered the anomalous dimension in the case of the Thirring model. R. GATTO claims to have found the same phenomena in the scale-invariant  $\lambda\varphi^4$  theory (private communication).

(14) C. CALLAN, S. COLEMAN and R. JACKIW: *Ann. of Phys.* (to be published).